

## Judge Ameli's List of Arbitral Awards and Decisions

- A. The following is the list of 82 arbitral awards and decisions in 113 cases in which Judge Ameli participated as an arbitrator before the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal. However, it does not include numerous, important procedural orders in these and other cases of the Tribunal in which he participated, while it does include termination orders, which are final and binding.<sup>1</sup>

The Tribunal was established pursuant to Algiers Accords<sup>2</sup> of 1981 by Iran and the United States and is still in operation today dealing with a few remaining cases. The Algiers Accords consist of the General Declaration, the Claims Settlement Declaration, the Undertakings of the two governments and two other documents. The arbitration of disputes is conducted under the Tribunal Rules of Procedure,<sup>3</sup> which are the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 1976 as modified by the Tribunal under Article III(2) of the Claims Settlement Declaration. Further, under Article 32(5) of the Tribunal Rules, "All awards and decisions shall be made available to the public []." <sup>4</sup>

The cases are decided "on the basis of respect for law, applying such choice of rules and principles of commercial and international law, as the Tribunal determines to be applicable, taking into account relevant usage of the trade, contract provisions and changed circumstances," under Article V of the Claims Settlement Declaration.

Pursuant to Presidential Orders Nos. 1 and 8 of the Tribunal,<sup>5</sup> the business of the Tribunal is carried out by the Full Tribunal of nine judges for disputes concerning the application or interpretation of the Algiers Accords and certain other issues. However, the claims of nationals against the government of the other, based on debt, contract, expropriation or

---

<sup>1</sup> Thus, the awards or decisions made by the Full Tribunal carry ("FT") for Full Tribunal in their identifying number, following the case number, while awards or decisions made by a chamber carry the chamber identifying number **1, 2 or 3**, following the case number. The cases between the two governments are in two categories. The A cases are dealt with by the Full Tribunal, while B cases are dealt with by one of the chambers of the Tribunal unless a B case is relinquished to the Full Tribunal for involving a dispute between the two governments concerning the interpretation or application of the Algiers Accords.

The identifying number of the award, interlocutory award, interim award or decision also differs depending on whether it is a final award or decision, interim or interlocutory/partial award. Thus, the full identifying number of an award or decision is composed of three elements, the serial number of the award or decision, the case number, and the Full Tribunal or chamber number. The list below also specifies where certain awards were made "**on agreed terms**", which are based on agreements of the parties through settlements under Article 34(1) of the Tribunal Rules. However, procedural orders and decisions as well as termination orders in the cases do not carry an identifying number.

**Termination orders** are made under Article 34(2) of the Tribunal Rules, where the claimant withdraws its claim, or the Tribunal finds that the continuation of the proceedings is moot.

<sup>2</sup> Algiers Accords, General Declaration and Claims Settlement Declaration, available online at: <https://iusct.com/foundingdocuments-2/>

<sup>3</sup> Tribunal Rules, available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/5-TRIBUNAL-RULES-OF-PROCEDURE.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> K. H. Ameli, Confidentiality of Arbitral Proceedings Before the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal, February 2010, paper presented to the International Law Association Committee on International Commercial Arbitration.

<sup>5</sup> Presidential Orders Nos. 1 (19 Oct 1981) and 8 (24 Mar 1982), 1 Iran-US CTR 95-97.

other measures affecting property rights and “official” claims by either of the two governments against the other, based on the sale of goods and services, under Article II(1) and II(2) of the Claims Settlement Declaration which are decided by one of the three chambers of three judges, to which the claim has been assigned. The Tribunal also has jurisdiction over certain banking disputes between US banking institutions and the Iranian Central Bank under Paragraph 2(B) of the Undertakings of the two governments which are also decided by one of the three chambers, to which the claim has been assigned.

All claims of nationals and “official” claims of either government against the other government before the Tribunal were filed in a three month period of 20 October and 19 January 1982 under Notes 1 and 2 to Article 18 of the Tribunal Rules, as no claims were permitted to be filed with the Tribunal more than one year after entry into force of the Algiers Accords under Article III (4) of the Claims Settlement Declaration. However, disputes between the two governments concerning interpretation and application of the Accords have no deadline for filing, as provided under the same provision.

In counting the cases here, account is taken of the fact that some of the awards and decisions are joint in a number of cases and some of the cases are divided into different parts, each constituting a separate case. The list for each item also gives reference to a copy from the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal Reports, published by Grotius Publications, Cambridge University Press, as well as the online link to their text published by the Tribunal website <https://iusct.com/#>, where available. The termination orders, however, are expected to be uploaded to the Tribunal website in the future.

1. INA Corp. v. Iran, Award 184-161-1 (12 Aug 1985), 8 Iran-US CTR 373, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, standard of compensation, valuation and compensation for shareholding interest in an Iranian insurance company; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/C161-Doc-96.pdf>;
2. Hyatt International Corp. et al. v. Iran et al., Interlocutory Award No. ITL-54-134-1 (17 Sep 1985), 9 Iran-US CTR 72, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction over the parties, including an Iranian foundation as a government instrumentality and certain preliminary matters in a claim for breach of contract, expropriation of contract rights and unjust enrichment of management of three hotels built under the claimant’s planning and supervision in Iran for a share of their operating profits; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/C134-doc-161.pdf>
3. International Schools Services, Inc. v. National Iranian Copper Industries Co., Award No. 194-111-1 (10 Oct 1985), 9 Iran-US CTR 187, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on validity, breach and termination of contract and compensation for educational goods sold and services rendered including salary and benefits of the staff and lost profits for operating a school for American children in Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C111-Doc-136.pdf>. For jurisdiction over the claimant, a non-profit, non-stock corporation of U.S. national members, as equivalent to shareholding interest of a capital stock share issuing company under the treaty see, International Schools Services, Inc. v. National Iranian Copper Industries Co., Interlocutory Award No. ITL

37-111-FT (6 Apr 1984), 5 Iran-US CTR 338; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/interlocutory-award-no-37-6-april-1984/>

4. Touche Ross & Company v. Iran, Award No. 197-480-1 (11 Oct 1985) (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), 9 Iran-US CTR 284, on jurisdiction, breach of contract, force majeure and compensation for claims by the accountancy partnership for auditing financial plans and invoices of various U.S. contractors for the Iranian Air Force IBEX Project of modernization and expansion of its electronic intelligence-gathering system; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/final-award-no-197-30-october-1985/> Jurisdiction over the partnership was held based on the Full Tribunal Award No. ITL 37-111-FT (6 Apr 1984) in *International School Services*, referred to at Item 3, above.
5. Housing and Urban Services International, Inc. v. Iran et al., Award No. 201-174-1 (22 Nov 1985), 9 Iran-US CTR 313, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of a civil partnership contract with a German company in a claim for architectural services concerning construction of a major apartment complex in Tehran and compensation; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/final-award-no-201-22-november-1985/>
6. Arsenberg et al. dba Skidmore, Owings & Merrill v. Iran, Award No. 213-61-1 (27 Feb 1986), 10 Iran-US CTR 37, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of contract and compensation for architectural, engineering and planning services concerning construction of a new town in the Khuzestan Province of Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C61-Doc-59.pdf>
7. Hyatt International Corp. et al. v. Iran, et al., Award No. 214-134-1 (3 Mar 1986), 10 Iran-US CTR 365, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on agreed terms concerning breach of hotel construction, management and profit sharing contract claims; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C134-Doc-165.pdf>
8. Foremost Tehran, Inc. et al. v. Iran et al., Award No. 220-37/231-1 (10 Apr 1986), 10 Iran-US CTR 228, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, other measures affecting property rights, breach of contract and compensation for the claimants' shareholding interest, dividends, service fees, equipment rentals and value of equipment concerning a dairy company and its operation in Tehran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C231-Doc-195.pdf>
9. Flexi-van Leasing, Inc. v. Iran, Award No. 259-36-1 (11 Oct 1986), 12 Iran-US CTR 335, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on expropriation, breach of contract and unjust enrichment for the lease of marine transport equipment such as cargo containers, chassis and trailers with two Iranian shipping companies; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C36-Doc-222.pdf>

10. PepsiCo, Inc. v. Iran et al., Award No. 260-18-1 (11 Oct 1986), 13 Iran-US CTR 3, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of contract and compensation for Pepsi-Cola soft drink concentrate sold and delivered and repayment of loans under contracts with a chain of Iranian soft drink bottling companies; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C18-Doc-183.pdf>
11. Flexi-Van Leasing, Inc. v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 54-36-1 (18 Dec 1986), 13 Iran-US CTR 324, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on request for additional award and interpretation of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C36-Doc-231.pdf>
12. PepsiCo, Inc. v. Iran, et al., Decision No. DEC 55-18-1 (18 Dec 1986), 13 Iran-US CTR 328, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on request for interpretation of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C18-Doc-195.pdf>
13. Scott, Forseman and Company v. Iran and Bank Markazi Iran, Award No. 313-10172-1 (16 Jul 1987), 16 Iran-US CTR 103, (Judges Bockstiegel, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction and validity of exchange control regulations concerning payment for the books sold to several Iranian bookstores; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C10172-Doc-72.pdf>
14. Starrett Housing Corp. v. Iran et al., Final Award No. 314-24-1 (14 Aug 1987), 16 Iran-US CTR 112, (Judges Lagergren, Holtzmann and Ameli), on expropriation, valuation and compensation for shares in and repayment of loans to the Iranian operating company for construction of a major housing project in Tehran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C24-Doc-305.pdf>; <https://iusct.com/cases/statement-no-314-20-august-1987/>; expropriation of the basic contract was held under Interlocutory Award No. ITL 32-24-1 (19 Dec 1983), 4 Iran-US CTR 122, (Judges, Lagergren, Holtzmann and Kashani).
15. Iran v. United States, Decision No. DEC 65-A19-FT (30 Sep 1987), 16 Iran-US CTR 285, (Judges Briner, Virally, Bahrami, Holtzmann, Ansari, Aldrich, Ameli, and Salans), interpretation of treaty (the Algiers Accords) and jurisdiction on claim for compensatory interest; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/A19-Doc-57.pdf>
16. Granger Associates v. Iran et al., Award No. 320-184-1 (20 Oct 1987), 16 Iran-US CTR 317, (Judges Bockstiegel, Holtzmann and Ameli), on agreed terms; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C184-Doc-157.pdf>
17. MCA, Inc. v. Iran, Case No. 768, Ch. 2, Termination Order of 24 Oct 1990 (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), the claim having been pending since it was filed by 19 January 1982.

18. Arthur Young & Company v. Iran et al., Award No. 338-484-1 (30 Nov 1987), 17 Iran-US CTR 245, (Judges Bockstiegel, Holtzmann and Ameli), on jurisdiction, interference with contract rights and business operation of the claimant's accountancy services in Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C484-Doc-99-1.pdf>
19. CTI-Container Leasing Corp. v. Starline Iran Co, Iranian Chamber of Commerce, Government of IR Iran, Award No. 502-451-2 (9 Jan 1991), 26 Iran-US CTR 275, (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), on agreed terms; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C451-Doc-155eng.pdf>
20. Khajetoorians et al. v. Iran, Award No. 504-350-2 (25 Jan 1991), 26 Iran-US CTR 37, (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), on expropriation and personal jurisdiction regarding dominant and effective nationality; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C350-Doc-112.pdf>
21. Samrad et al. v. Iran, Award No. 505-461/462/463/464/456-2 (4 Feb 1991), 26 Iran-US CTR 44, (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), on expropriation and personal jurisdiction concerning a claim for shareholding interest in a group of Iranian companies as well as various real estate holdings in Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/final-award-no-505-4-february-1991-3/>
22. Gabay v. Iran, Award No. 515-771-2 (10 Jul 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 40, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on expropriation and temporal jurisdiction in a claim for shareholding interest in several companies in carpet, textile and tufting business and various real and personal properties; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C771-Doc-160.pdf>
23. Combustion Engineering, Inc., Vetco, Inc. v. National Iranian Steel Company, Award No. 521-308-2 (24 Sep 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 288, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on agreed terms; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C771-Doc-160.pdf>
24. Gabay v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 99-771-2 (24 Sep 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 194, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for reconsideration of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C771-Doc-163eng.pdf>
25. Saboonchian v. Iran, Award No. 524-313-2 (15 Nov 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 248, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on expropriation and jurisdiction in a claim for ownership interest in a dairy farm in Abadan, Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1991/11/C313-Doc-99eng.pdf>
26. Ministry of National Defence of Iran v. United States and Bell Helicopter Textron Co., Decision No. DEC-100-A3/A8-FT (22 Nov 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 256, (Judges Ruda, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Holtzmann, Ameli, Aldrich,

Alison, Noori and Aghahosseini), on termination of the case and legal costs; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1991/11/A3-doc-103-1.pdf>

27. Iran v. United States, Award No. 525-B1 (Claim 4)-FT (2 Dec 1991), 27 Iran-US CTR 282, (Judges Ruda, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Holtzmann, Ameli, Aldrich, Alison, Noori and Aghahosseini), on agreed terms; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/b11-doc-860-ft-partial-award-on-agreed-terms2-december-1991/>
28. Collins Systems International, Inc. v. The Navy of Iran, Award No. 526-431-2 (20 Jan 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 21, (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of contract, compensation and reduction of a bank guarantee claims for establishment of a communication system, including delivery of equipment, materials and technical data for an automatic voice switching and ship-to-shore capabilities, integrated logistic support with manuals, test equipment, maintenance, low frequency station and training for various Iranian naval bases and counterclaims; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C431-Doc-157.pdf>
29. Saboonchian v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 103-313-2 (13 Feb 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 51, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for additional award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C313-Doc-102eng.pdf>
30. Collins Systems International Inc. v. Iran Navy, Decision No. DEC 104-431-2 (13 Feb 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 195, (Judges Briner, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for reconsideration of the award and additional award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1992/06/C431-Doc-162eng.pdf>
31. Iran v. United States, Award No. 529-A15(II:A and II:B)-FT (6 May 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 112, (Judges Ruda, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Holtzmann, Ameli, Aldrich, Alison, Noori and Aghahosseini), on liability for breach of the treaty, the Algiers Accords, claim for return of Iranian tangible properties in the United States to Iran and the claim for damages to these properties during the blocking period prior to the Accords; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/A15II-A-doc-1083-T-Award-6-May-1992.pdf>
32. SeaCo, Inc. v. Iran et al., Award No. 531-260-2 (25 Jun 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 198, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of contract, detrimental reliance, unjust enrichment and expropriation of contract rights and equipment for charter hire and rent, replacement value of cargo containers and demurrage charges under shipping contracts with various Iranian companies; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/final-award-no-531-25-june-1992/>
33. Cherifat et al. v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 106-277-2 (25 Jun 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 216, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on revision of termination

order; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C277-Doc-53eng.pdf>

34. Karim-Panahi v. United States, Award No. 532-182-2 (26 Jun 1992), 28 Iran-US CTR 225, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on temporal jurisdiction, other measures affecting property rights, and proof of claim for damages; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C182-Doc-110.pdf>
35. Karim-Panahi v. United States, Decision No. DEC-108-182-2 (27 Oct 1992) 28 Iran-US CTR 318, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on reconsideration of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C182-Doc-113.pdf>
36. Fazeli v. Iran, Case No. 270, Ch. 2, Termination Order (20 Jan 1993) (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), the claim having been pending since it was filed by 19 January 1982.
37. Saghi et al. v. Iran, Award No. 544-298-2 (22 Jan 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 20, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, beneficial ownership, expropriation, valuation and compensation for share ownership interest in two Iranian paper mill and paper product distribution companies ; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C298-Doc-236.pdf>
38. Marine Midland Bank, N.A. v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 109-163-2 (23 Apr 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 185, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C163-Doc-93.pdf>
39. Irving Trust Company v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 110-204-2 (23 Apr 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 189, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C204-Doc-79.pdf>
40. Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co. v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 111-223-2 (23 Apr 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 193, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C223-Doc-93.pdf>
41. Mellon Bank, NA v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 112-247-2 (23 Apr 1993) 29 Iran-US CTR 197, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C247-Doc-85eng.pdf>
42. First National Bank of Chicago et al. v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 113-249-2 (23 Apr 1993), (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), 29 Iran-US CTR 201, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C249-Doc-86eng.pdf>

43. First Interstate Bank of California v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 114-287-2 (23 Apr 1993), (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), 29 Iran-US CTR 205, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C287-Doc-77eng.pdf>
44. American Express International Banking Company v. Iran et al., Decision No. DEC 115-363-2 (23 Apr 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 209, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and termination of counterclaim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C363-Doc-74.pdf>
45. Mercantile Trust Co. v. Iran, Case No. 351, Ch. 2, Termination Order (23 Apr 1993) (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), the claim having been pending since it was filed by 19 January 1982.
46. Iran v. United States, Decision No. DEC 116-A15(IV)/A24-FT (18 May 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 214, (Judges Ruda, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Holtzmann, Ameli, Aldrich, Alison, Noori and Aghahosseini), on breach of treaty, the Algiers Accords, request for stay of US court proceedings; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/a15iv-a24-separate-statement-no-116-18-may-1993/>
47. Kaysons International Corp. v. Iran, Award No. 548-367-2 (28 Jun 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 222, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of contract and compensation for claims and counterclaims for pharmaceutical products, materials, equipment, technology transfer and various other goods and services under contract or course of dealing with a major chain of Iranian companies ; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1993/06/C367-Doc-123eng.pdf>
48. Birnbaum v. Iran, Award No. 549-967-2 (6 Jul 1993), 29 Iran-US CTR 260, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, valuation and compensation for ownership interest in a major Iranian architectural services partnership; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/C967-Doc-99.pdf>
49. Mostofizadeh v. Iran, Case No. 278, Ch. 2, Termination Order (20 Sep 1993) (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), the claim having been pending since filed by 19 January 1982.
50. Birnbaum v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 117-967-2 (20 Oct 1993), (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for additional award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/decision-no-117-20-october-1993/>
51. Moin v. Iran, Award No. 557-950-2 (25 May 1994), 30 Iran-US CTR 70, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and expropriation of ownership interest in family house, summer house and

several shops in Yazd, Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C950-Doc-114eng.pdf>

52. Khosrowshahi et al. v. Iran, Award No. 558-178-2 (30 Jun 1994), 30 Iran-US CTR 76, (Judges Ruda, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, valuation and compensation for shareholding interest in a major Iranian pharmaceutical household and personal care products manufacturing company, its import and export arm company and a development and investment bank; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1990/01/C178-Doc-156.pdf>
53. Protiva et al. v. Iran, Award No. 566-316-2 (14 Jul 1995), 31 Iran-US CTR 89, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, valuation and compensation for inherited real property and balance of a bank account in Tehran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C316-DOC-152.pdf>
54. Sobhani v. Iran, Award No. 563-827-2 (4 May 1995), 31 Iran-US CTR 26, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on personal jurisdiction concerning expropriation claim for a business, personal properties, real estates and bank accounts; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C827-Doc-101eng.pdf>
55. Bavanati v. Iran, Award No. 564-296-2 (17 May 1995), 31 Iran-US CTR 36, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on personal jurisdiction concerning expropriation of a parcel of land ; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C296-Doc-125eng.pdf>
56. Ghaffari v. Iran, Award No. 565-968-2 (7 Jul 1995), 31 Iran-US CTR 60, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, valuation and compensation for ownership interest in a major Iranian architectural partnership; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C968-Doc-112.pdf>
57. Ghaffari v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 123-968-2 (30 Oct 1995), (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), 31 Iran-US CTR 124, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for reconsideration of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C968-Doc-117eng.pdf>
58. Birnbaum v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 124-967-2 (14 Dec 1995), 31 Iran-US CTR 286, (Judges Skubiszewski, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and Aghahosseini) on request for reconsideration of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C968-Doc-117eng.pdf>
59. Iran v. United States, Award No. 568-A13/A15 (I and IV:C)/ A26 (I, II and III)-FT (22 Feb 1996), , 32 Iran-US CTR 207, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and

Aghahosseini), on agreed terms; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1996/02/A13-Doc-1308.pdf>

60. Karubian v. Iran, Award No. 569-419-2 (6 Mar 1996), (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), 32 Iran-US CTR 3, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation and other measures affecting property rights and abuse of rights for real properties in four different cities in Iran; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C419-Doc-142.pdf>
61. Islamic Republic of Iran Railway v. United States, Award No. 572-B58-2 (9 Oct 1996), 32 Iran-US CTR 92, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on subject-matter jurisdiction concerning World War II related breach of contract; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B58-doc-133.pdf>
62. Iran v. United States, Decision No. DEC 125-A15/A24-FT (11 Oct 1996), 32 Iran-US CTR 115, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and Aghahosseini), on stay of US court proceedings and preclusive effect of earlier award in *Foremost, supra*, para. 8, available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/a15iv-a24-decision-125-11-october-1996/>
63. United States v. Iran, Award No. 574-B36-2 (3 Dec 1996), 32 Iran-US CTR 162, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on breach of contract, odious debts of former regime and continuity of State, limitation period and compensation for sale of U.S. surplus military property to Iran after the Second World War; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B36-Doc-126.pdf>
64. United States v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 126-B36-2 (17 Mar 1997), 33 Iran-US CTR 56, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for correction and revision of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B36-Doc-134.pdf>
65. Westinghouse Electric Corp. v. Iran Air Force, Award No. 579-389-2 (26 Mar 1997), 33 Iran-US CTR 60, Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, breach of a series of contracts, counterclaims, counter-counterclaims, frustration of contracts, compensation and return of property concerning construction of the Integrated Electronic Depot for repair and maintenance of various types of Air Force weapons and electronics systems with test equipment, manuals and spare parts; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C389-Doc-480.pdf>
66. Westinghouse Electric Corp. v. Iran Air Force, Decision No. DEC 127-389-2 (23 Apr 1997) 33 Iran-US CTR 204, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on post-award request for additional directions; available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/decision-no-127-23-march-1997/>

67. United States v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 128-B36-2 (23 May 1997), 33 Iran-US CTR 346, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on request for correction of award; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B36-Doc-135.pdf>
68. Monemi v. Iran, Award No. 582-274-2 (20 Jun 1997), 33 Iran-US CTR 349, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation and other measures affecting property rights concerning real property in Shiraz and detention of funds in bank account and failure to exchange the Rial funds for foreign currency and transfer abroad; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C274-Doc-120eng.pdf>
69. Iran v. United States, Decision No. DEC 129-A4/A7/A15(IF and III)-FT (23 Jun 1997), 33 Iran-US CTR 362, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arrangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and Aghahosseini), concerning Iran's request for interim measures of protection of its diplomatic and consular properties in the United States, which the United States had leased to third powers rather than returning them to Iran under the Algiers Accords ; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/A15-doc-1356-T-Decision-23-June-1997-en.pdf>
70. Iran v. United States, Award No. 586-A27-FT (5 Jun 1998), 34 Iran-US CTR 39, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arrangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and Aghahosseini), on breach of treaty, the Algiers Accords, by the United States judicial organ for failure to give effect to a Tribunal award in favor of Iran and compensation for the violation; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/A27-Doc-32.pdf>
71. Hakim v. Iran, Award No. 587-953-2 (2 Jul 1998), 34 Iran-US CTR 67, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction, expropriation, valuation and compensation for real property and shareholding interest in four related companies in the production of refrigerator engines and parts ; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C953-Doc-174.pdf>
72. Iran v. United States, Award No. 590-A15(IV)/A24-FT (28 Dec 1998), 34 Iran-US CTR 105, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Alison, Duncan, Noori and Aghahosseini), on liability for breach of treaty, the Algiers Accords, to terminate proceedings before US courts in several cases and to give effect to the earlier Tribunal award in *Foremost* and further schedule proceedings for quantification of compensation; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/1998/12/A15IV-doc-1378-T-Award-28-December-1998.pdf>
73. Lerner v. Iran, Award No. 592-242-2 (11 Jun 1999), 35 Iran-US CTR 135, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on agreed terms concerning expropriation of real and personal property claim; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C242-Doc-170eng.pdf>

74. A. Sabet et al. v. Iran, Award No. 593-815/816/817-2 (30 Jun 1999), 35 Iran-US CTR 3, ), (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), on jurisdiction and liability for expropriation of shareholding interest in a chain of major soft drink bottling companies, a financial services company and several manufacturing companies in the production of glass bottles, refrigerators, gas cylinders, rubber tubs, tires, other rubber products, lubricating oil, grease, antifreeze and oil drums , and to render a separate award for the quantum of compensation, available online at: <https://iusct.com/cases/case-815-816-817-award-593-30-june-1999/>
75. Gulf Associates, Inc. v. Iran et al., Award No. 594-385-2 (7 Oct 1999), 35 Iran-US CTR 45, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli) on jurisdiction, authenticity of certain share certificates and stock transfer ledgers, breach of contract and compensation for trading and financial services provided to a number of affiliated Iranian companies; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/C385-Doc-336.pdf>
76. Iran v. United States, Award No. 597-A11-FT (7 Apr 2000), 36 Iran-US CTR 84, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Duncan, Mosk, Noori and Aghahosseini), on liability for breach of treaty (the Algiers Accords) for return of the assets of the former royal family to Iran under Point IV of the General Declaration, *forum non conveniens*, sovereign immunity, act of state doctrine, enforcement of default foreign judgments and order to schedule further proceeding in the case; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/A11-Doc-132.pdf>
77. A. Sabet et al. v. Iran, Award No. 598-815/816/817-2 (28 Nov 2000), 36 Iran-US CTR 203, (Judges Skubiszewski, Aldrich and Ameli), valuation and compensation for expropriation of shareholding interests in several Iranian companies held in *Sabet* under Item 74, above; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/C815-Doc-342.pdf>
78. United States and Federal Reserve Bank of New York v. Iran and Bank Markazi Iran, Decision No. DEC 130-A28-FT (19 Dec 2000), 36 Iran-US CTR 5, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Duncan, Mosk, Noori and Aghahosseini), on liability for breach of treaty, paragraph 7 of the General Declaration for replenishment of the Security Account, object and purpose of the provision, restrictive interpretation of treaties, substantial change of circumstances, violation of other basic terms of the General Declaration by the claimant, approximate application/performance; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/A28-doc-105.pdf>
79. United States v. Iran, Decision No. DEC 132-A33-FT (9 Sep 2004), 38 Iran-US CTR 5, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Brower, McDonald, Noori and Aghahosseini), on jurisdiction, liability for continuous breach of treaty, paragraph 7 of the General Declaration, non-compliance with earlier Tribunal decision, power of enforcement, suspension of proceedings of the respondent's claims in other cases, request

for compliance with earlier Tribunal decision; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/A33-doc-33.pdf>

80. Iran v. United States, Interlocutory Award No. ITL 83-B1-FT (Counterclaim) (9 Sep 2004), 38 Iran-US CTR 77, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Brower, McDonald, Noori and Aghahosseini), on jurisdiction over official counterclaims under the treaty, Claims Settlement Declaration, UNCITRAL Rules, subsequent practice of the parties and outstanding counterclaims on date of entry into force of the treaty; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B1CC-doc-1949-T-Award-9-September-2004.pdf>
81. Iran v. United States, Communication to the Parties, Decision No. DEC -- A3/A8/A9/A14/B61-FT (7 May 2007, 1 May 2007), 38 Iran-US CTR 177, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Brower, McDonald, Aghahosseini and Oloumi Yazdi), on replacement of an arbitrator after having participated in the hearing of the case, as an exception to Article 13 (5) of the Tribunal Rules, when he did not agree with the Tribunal's *per diem* assessment for participation in the deliberations of the case and his replacement arbitrator was ready and available to proceed with the deliberations.
82. Iran v. United States, Award No. 601-A3/A8/A9/A14/B61-FT (17 Jul 2009), 38 Iran-US CTR 197, (Judges Skubiszewski, Broms, Arangio-Ruiz, Aldrich, Ameli, Brower, McDonald, Aghahosseini and Oloumi Yazdi), on liability for breach of the treaty, General Principle A and paragraph 9 of the General Declaration, compensation of losses for failure to return Iranian military properties purchased in the United States or sent there for repair, upgrading, or as prime equipment for design and production of test equipment and manuals under contracts with private US companies, application of two earlier awards in the interpretation of the provisions, *res judicata*, compensable losses, scope of implicit obligation, meaning of "financial position" and its comparison at two points in time, causation, right of export, ownership rights, interference to such rights, Treasury Regulations and further proceedings; available online at: <https://iusct.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/B61-Doc-915.pdf>

**B. The following are the list of other international arbitration cases in which Judge Ameli has acted as arbitrator:**

1. DIFC-LCIA Case No. D-L 14039, sole arbitrator, appointed by the LCIA, share purchase agreement disputes in oil service industry, the contract governed by DIFC law Termination after exchange of pleadings, 2016.
2. ICC Case, No. 9824, co-arbitrator, appointed by the ICC Court; J. Martin Hunter, presiding, Judge Koorosh H. Ameli and Judge Frank G. Evans, co-arbitrators, consulting agreement dispute by an Iranian Singapore registered drilling consultancy company against an American manufacturing company for marketing of equipment and parts in the

Iranian oil industry, the agreement governed by the laws of Texas Settlement Agreement and Termination by the end of the evidential hearing, 2002.

3. ICC Case No. 4209, co-arbitrator, appointed by the respondent; Bjorn Haug, presiding, Judge Koorosh H. Ameli and Donald Paradis, co-arbitrators, nationwide telecommunication construction dispute between a major American telecommunication company and an Iranian state ministry based on a contract between a consortium of four telecommunication companies, two such American, one German and one Japanese, and the Iranian party, the contract governed by Iranian law, involving a claim for breach of contract in US \$80 million and a counterclaim for US \$20 million. Award on Jurisdiction, unanimous, 1983; Award on Agreed Terms by the end of the evidential hearing, 1985.
4. Ad hoc UNCITRAL arbitration, a Swiss oil trading company v. a national oil company, co-arbitrator, appointed by the respondent, Ahmed Sadek El-Kosheri, presiding, Judge Koorosh H. Ameli and a Belgian law prof., co-arbitrators, oil trading dispute. Termination Order, 1991.

**C. International arbitration cases in which Judge Ameli acted as legal expert, appointed by the claimant:**

1. ICC Case No. 15597, Final Award 17 April 2012. A telecommunication construction partnership, breach of contract claims for US \$600 million in damages by a private Turkish company against its Iranian state company partner.
2. ICC Case No. 17553, Final Award, 9 May 2014, Caspian Oil Resources Ltd. v. Naftiran Intertrade Company, NICO. Crude oil swap and marketing breach of contract claim for US \$90 million in lost profit by the Gibraltar registered oil trading company against the Iranian state oil trading company, registered in Malaysia. K. H. Ameli, [\*Iranian Law of Loss of Profits in International Arbitration\*](#), 12 TDM, Issue 2 (March 2015).
3. Turkcell Iletisim Hizmetleri, A.S. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran, PCA Case 2008, UNCITRAL, Final Award, 15 October 2014, breach of the Iran-Turkey bilateral investment treaty (Iran-Turkey Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investment Agreement of 16 December 1996), claim for US \$600 million for breach of expropriation, fair and equitable treatment, national treatment and most favored nation treatment, full protection and security of the treaty investment protection provisions due to repudiation of a 15-year license agreement for mobile phone network and its assignment to another foreign provider through corruption and other illegal means.

**D. International arbitration cases in which Judge Ameli has acted as counsel for the claimant:**

1. ICC Case No. 17233, Final Award, 20 August 2012, claim of a private Iranian business party v. another Iranian business party for breach of the share purchase agreement concerning a Danish general trading company and other related business claims.
2. MPC Arbitration Case No. 310552, Arbitral Award, 13 September 2018, reasoned ex aequo et bono, claim of an Iranian private company against a Dutch company for breach of a dairy products sale contract and claims for damages.
3. PCA Case 2009-20, Crescent Petroleum Company and Crescent Gas Corporation v. National Iranian Oil Company, Partial Award on Remedies, 27 Sep 2021, breach of a long term natural gas sale agreement and damages for lost profits, granted US \$2.4 billion plus interest; in progress regarding indemnity claim for third party damages and the claim for legal costs, available online at: <https://jusmundi.com/en/document/decision/en-crescent-petroleum-company-international-limited-v-national-iranian-oil-company-reconstitution-of-the-tribunal-sunday-1st-october-2017>

PCA Case 2019-03, Crescent Petroleum Company and Crescent Gas Corporation v. National Iranian Oil Company, breach of a long term natural gas sale agreement and damages, in progress. Award on Termination [of Contract], 5 May 2020. *Interim award upheld in mega-claim over Iranian gas*, **Global Arbitration Review**, 22 Sep 2020, available online at: <https://globalarbitrationreview.com/article/interim-award-upheld-in-mega-claim-over-iranian-gas>

**E. Judge Ameli has also been active in a number of international arbitration or litigation cases as legal consultant.**